20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT AND WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DENIAL OF TEMPORARY RESTRAINING **ORDER**

(ECF No. 82)

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff James Emmett Farr's "request that this Court revisit Plaintiff[']s previous motion[] . . . for TRO in which his original motion lists this exact situational possibility where Plaintiff is removed of his legal property, papers, books, and notes, based on a fabricated rules violation." Mot. for Reconsideration (ECF No. 82) at 4–5. In his original motion, Plaintiff requested an Order restraining Defendants from, among other things, "placing Plaintiff in Administrative Segregation and subsequently depriving him of his legal papers and books" and "falsifying Rules Violation Reports against Plaintiff." See ECF No. 2 at 1.

Since Plaintiff filed his Motion for Reconsideration, however, he was transferred from Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility ("RJD"), where the alleged violations were

27

28

occurring, to the California State Prison, Los Angeles County in Lancaster, California. *See* ECF No. 83. In the absence of any evidence demonstrating Plaintiff's reasonable expectation of returning to RJD, Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 82) is **DENIED AS MOOT AND WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. *See, e.g., Nunez v. Diaz*, No. 119CV00686SABPC, 2019 WL 4127205, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 30, 2019) (denying as moot motion for temporary restraining order where the plaintiff was transferred to another facility) (citing *Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493, F.3d 1047, 1053 n.5 (9th Cir. 2007); *Johnson v. Moore*, 948 F.2d 517, 519 (9th Cir. 1991) (per curiam)); *Canada v. Niebert*, No. 117CV00873BAMPC, 2017 WL 4123937, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2017) (same) (citing *Johnson*, 948 F.2d at 519).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 26, 2019

Hon. Janis L. Sammartino
United States District Judge